



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Rox 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/039,277 | 01/04/2002 | Frank D. Husson JR. | SOLAR1120-3 | 1245 |
| 30542 7 | 590 09/05/2003 | | | |
| FOLEY & LARDNER | | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 80278 SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278 | | | PRICE, CARL D | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3743 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003 | 13 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action Advisory Action Examiner CARL D. PRICE The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shades. | NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. t of this application. A proper reply to a nendment which places the application in |
|--|---|
| Advisory Action Examiner CARL D. PRICE | Art Unit 3743 heet with the correspondence address NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. t of this application. A proper reply to a hendment which places the application in |
| Examiner CARL D. PRICE | 3743 heet with the correspondence address NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. t of this application. A proper reply to a nendment which places the application in |
| | neet with the correspondence address NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. t of this application. A proper reply to a needment which places the application in |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover st | NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. t of this application. A proper reply to a nendment which places the application in |
| | t of this application. A proper reply to a nendment which places the application in |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN COL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed am condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either | a) or b)] |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the devent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS (1). | the mailing date of the final rejection. MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the correspond 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for replace) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing determined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ling amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ly originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be file 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | |
| (a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration as | nd/or search (see NOTE below); |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for a issues for appeal; and/or | appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding | ng number of finally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if su canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | bmitted in a separate, timely filed amendment |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration is application in condition for allowance because: | has been considered but does NOT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cted SOLELY to issues which were newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | |
| Claim(s) allowed: none. | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . | · |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-55</u> . | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved on | r b) disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) I | |
| 10. ☐ Other: | CARL D. PRICE Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3743 |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/039,277

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and search. For example, the proposed claims now require the solar water pasteurizer to include a cap and spout having a bracket for receiving one or more re-usable temperature indicators and, with regard to the method of assembly, stacking and bonding a fourth sheet of flexible polymeric material to the first sheet to provide a transparent insulating air space.